

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/766,005	01/29/2004		Shinji Tanaka	Q79562	2005	
23373	7590	08/23/2006		EXAMINER ·		
SUGHRUE		PLLC A AVENUE, N.W.	NUNEZ, JORDANY			
SUITE 800	3 I L VAINI	A AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				2179		
				DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/766,005	TANAKA, SHINJI				
Office Action Summ	ary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jordany Núñez	2179				
- The MAILING DATE of this co	ommunication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the later SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If NO period for reply is specified above, the market of the period for reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 this communication. eximum statutory period w d for reply will, by statute, emonths after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this of the control of t				
Status							
·— ··	2b)⊠ This ndition for allowan	 action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, ax parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 8-13 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allower 6) Claim(s) 8-13 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objecte 8) Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdraw d. ed to.						
Application Papers							
	is/are: a) acce iny objection to the oncluding the correcti	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		·O-152)			

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Objections

Claims 9-11 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 9, 10, and 11 currently depend from claims 1, 2, and 1, respectively, even though claims 1 and 2 do not currently exists. For the purposes of this examination, Examiner will assume that Claims 9, 10, and 11 depend from claims 8, 9, and 8, respectively. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohtuska et al. (EP 0 804 018 A2, hereinafter Ohtuska) in view of Takemura et al. (U.S. 6,089,772, hereinafter Takemura).

As to claim 8, 12, 13:

Application/Control Number: 10/766,005

Art Unit: 2179

Ohtuska shows computer program product having a computer readable medium with a printer operating guide program, a method, and an apparatus for enabling a computer (abstract, lines 5-14) to perform the steps comprising:

monitoring a status information sent from a printer (column 1, lines 16-19 and column 7, lines 33-35);

determining whether or not a cartridge for printing has been attached to the printer (figure 25, element s420, column 25, lines 18-20); and

after a cartridge is attached, displaying (e.g., enabling) a selection user interface from which selection of a print mode is waited before proceeding to a next step (column 25, 52 to column 26, line 3).

Ohtuska fails to specifically show: enabling a button for advancing process to be clicked by a user if it is determined the cartridge has been attached to the printer.

In the same field of invention, Takemura teaches: a printer including a housing defining a media feed portion. Takemura further teaches: a user interface for selecting the speed and quality of print resolution (e.g., print mode) (figure 46), said user interface including an OK button and a Cancel button.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of

Ohtuska and Takemura at the time that the invention was made, to have combined the user interface for
selecting the speed and quality of print resolution including an OK button and a Cancel button of
Takemura with the product, method, and apparatus as taught by Ohtuska.

One would have been motivated to make such combination because a way to allow a user submit selection of a print mode through the use of an OK button would have been obtained and desired as expressly taught by Takemura (figure 46).

As to claim 9, as best understood, Ohtuska expressly shows:

Wherein if it is determined that there is some error in the attachment of the cartridge, the button for advancing process is not enabled to be clicked and then, an image to advise the user to check the attachment of the cartridge is displayed (column 25, lines 23-30).

Art Unit: 2179

As to claim 10, as best understood, Takemura expressly shows:

Ohtuska and Takemura show a product, method, and apparatus substantially as claimed, as specified above.

Takemura further shows: a sub heater control driving the temperature of a print head upon receipt 10 ms interrupt (e.g., time-out period), said sub heater control being part of timer operations being provided by a cyclic handler (column 23-27), and cyclic handlers being provided for user interface tasks (e.g., image to advise user) (column 27, lines 20-22), a host processor requesting a printer status (column 23, 56-63), said printer status comprising whether an error or alarm has been detected (column 24, lines 3).

Ohtuska and Takemura fail to specifically teach: Wherein a predetermined time-out period is set, and the image to advise the user to check the attachment of the cartridge is displayed after the time-out has occurred.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Ohtuska and Takemura at the time that the invention was made, to have included the predetermined time-out period being set, and the image to advise the user to check the attachment of the cartridge being displayed after the time-out has occurred with the product, method, and apparatus as taught by Ohtuska and Takemura.

One would have been motivated to make such combination because a way to verify that a sub heater control would properly drive the temperature of a cartridge's print head would have been obtained and desired.

As to claim 11, as best understood, Ohtuska expressly shows:

Wherein the status information sent from the printer is based on a sensor output from a sensor which detects whether the cartridge has been attached or not (column 9, lines 45-52).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,005 Page 5

Art Unit: 2179

References to specific columns, figures or lines should not be limiting in any way. The entire reference provides disclosure related to the claimed invention.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Ohtsuka et al.

[U.S. 6,145,950]

Moro et al.

[U.S. 6,327,051]

Pappalardo et al.

[U.S. 6,977,753]

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jordany Núñez whose telephone number is (571)272-2753. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Friday 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (571)272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JN 8/14/2006

> WEILUN LO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER